

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,409	02/13/2002		Hideaki Tanaka	111867	3980
25944	7590	02/03/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B		GE, PLC	MACKEY, JAMES P		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, · · · -			1722	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/073,409	TANAKA, HIDEAKI					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James Mackey	1722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application at timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee to the filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17 (a) 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: 12 and 19-21.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-11 and 13	<u>3-16</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		James Man					
	6	James Mackey Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1722					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the slit-like aperture being arranged near a junction of tread mold piece ridges is a new issue.